

Carbon addition and its effects on soil nitrogen and prairie development

Cynthia Kadlec¹ Dave Sollenberger² Louise Egerton-Warburton²



1. Elmhurst College, 190 Prospect Ave, Elmhurst IL 60126 2. Chicago Botanic Garden, 1000 Lake Cook Rd., Glencoe IL. 60022

Abstract

Restoring prairies is essential to the preservation of grasslands that were once common to Illinois. A practice often associated with restoration is cultivation of the topsoil. This disturbance causes an increase in soil nitrogen (N) levels, which provides weedy species a competitive advantage over native species for space and resources. At the Chicago Botanic Garden's (CBG) Dixon Prairie we are studying the effects of incorporated materials with high C: N on soil where N levels are high. We hypothesize that carbon (C) addition will stimulate soil microbe activity thereby immobilizing soil N, causing a temporary decrease in soil N levels. In addition, we predict floristic quality and native plant biomass should increase with decreasing soil N. In order to test our hypothesis, the prairie was divided into sixteen plots each containing a control or one of three amendments in the form of wood chips, wood shavings, or a combination of chips and shavings. From these 16 plots data for NO₃ and NH₄, biomass, and floristic quality was collected. Our results showed that in 2003 and 2004, C addition reduced available N, except for plots with shavings. Floristic quality increased, especially in the chips and shavings/chips treatments. We also found that in 2003 and 2004, C addition increased native plant biomass in all plots and decreased all non-native plant biomass except for the control. Our data indicates that soil carbon addition may be useful in controlling weedy species in the early stages of prairie restoration

advantage over native species for space and

resources when this nutrient is abundant,

prairies. The technique of incorporating

temporarily reduces soil N and has been

Paschke et al. 2000). The addition of C

which effectively immobilize soil N. The

= Chips only m = Shavings only

temporary immobilization of N creates a

soil environment more suitable for the

establishment of prairie.


stimulates the growth of soil microbes

used successfully in other prairie restoration

work (Alpert and Maron 200, Morgan 1994,

thereby arresting the development of

materials with high C: N into the soil



Challenge

We predict that the incorporation of high C: N material into the Dixon Prairie soil will decrease the soil N levels. Several different high C materials were evaluated for their effect. With the decreased soil N levels we expect that it will cause native plant biomass to increase, non-native biomass to decrease, and the floristic quality to increase.

Methods/Materials

Plots were amended with 15cm of various C material to alter the ratio of soil C: N and then seeded in September 2001. The C materials selected were 1. wood shavings, 2. wood chips, and 3. combination of wood chip/wood shavings. Nonamended soils were used as controls. Next, we sampled and analyzed the soil, floristic quality, and biomass in order to find the effect of these various carbon amendments on plots in the Dixon Prairie (Chicago Botanic Garden). One of the 3 treatments, plus a control, were assigned randomly to a linear series of four, 4m x 4m plots. Each series was replicated four times for a total of 16 plots.

• Soil Sampling: Soil samples were collected at two randomly chosen points in the center meter of each of the sixteen plots using a bulb planter. All samples were dried (50 degrees centigrade, 30 hours) and sieved to 10mm.

•Soil Analysis: One sample from each plot was extracted with 2 M KCl, after which aliquots were analyzed for available nitrate and ammonium using colorimetric methods. [This method applies to 2004 data only. Different methods were used to analyze July soil samples from 2002-2003].

•Floristic Quality: Floristic quality was evaluated in two randomly chosen 1/4 meter square plots randomly chosen along the perimeter of the central plot. Within each 1/4 meter square plot, the percentage of each species was estimated. These data were analyzed using the Floristic Quality Assessment (Chicago Database) software to provide a floristic quality index

•(FQI). (Wilhelm and Masters 12-15).

 \overline{c} = the mean C* n= the number of native species


*Plants are assigned a coefficient of conservatism or C value between the 0-10. The higher the value, the more conservative the species. Zeros are designated to exotic species that were never members of our native plant communities.

 $FQI = \overline{c}\sqrt{n}$

•Biomass: The same method used to collect data for floristics was also used for biomass. The exception is that with productivity measurements, everything inside the square meter was cut at ground level, sorted to species, and placed into paper bags to dry. Once the plants were dry, each was weighed and the weight was recorded.


Results

Average NO3 2002-4




Over time, the soil NO3 concentration decreased by at least half. The two treatments that exhibited the greatest effect were shavings alone (nitrate decreased 50%) and shavings/ chips (nitrate decreased 60 %).

Average NH4 2002-4




Soil NH4 levels were extremely variable throughout the years and among plots. Overall, the total nitrogen decreased in every amended plot.

Average Native Biomass 2003-4




Native plant biomass increased in plots amended with shavings alone or chips alone.

Average Non-Native Biomass 2003-4




The non-native plant biomass decreased in plots amended with shavings alone or chips alone.

Average FQI 2003-4



Average FQI 2002-4 Overall, the floristic quality increased over time. Plots showing the greatest increase were those that received chips, (FQI increased 40%) or a combination of shavings and chips (FQI increased 50%).

NH4 vs. Floristics



Soil ammonia and floristic diversity were found to be significantly correlated (r=0.517; p<0.10).

Correlation

NH4 vs. NO3 2004

	NO3-N	IN∏4-IN	mative Bio.	in-inalive bi	רעו
NO3-N		*0.62	-0.154	0.206	0.224
NH4-N			0.107	-0.120	*0.517
Native Bio.				-0.061	-0.085
N-Native Bio.					-0.348
FQI					

* Denotes a significant correlation.

NH4-N vs NO3

A significant correlation (p<0.10) was found between soil nitrate and ammonia levels (r=0.620).

Best overall performing species



Solidago rigida





Silphium laciniatum





Asclepias syriaca



Coreopsis tripteris



Andropogon gerardii

Conclusion

Control

Chips

Shavings

Shv./ chips

The three years proceeding carbon addition to the Dixon prairie, a decrease in overall soil nitrogen levels were found, except for shavings. Nitrate levels showed a significant drop after the first year and then a slight decrease the following two years. In contrast to NO₃ results, NH₄ was variable throughout all plots for all three years tested. Dana Blumenthal (2001) conducted a similar study at University of Minnesota and found that her NH4 results were unaffected by C addition as well. As a result of decreased nitrogen, native biomass increased in all plots and nonnative biomass decreased in all plots except for the control plot. The increase of non-native biomass in the control plot could have resulted from greater seed contact with the soil. Seed to soil contact for the amended plots was impaired by the unusually high concentration of C material that was added to the soil (15cm). The maximum depth of the tiller used to incorporate the carbon material was 20cm resulting in a ratio of C to soil at about 3:1. Blumenthal (pers. comm.) reported that a maximum of 1500 g/m2 of C was required for a positive effect. The concentration of C materials applied in this experiment ranged from 20-7 times greater than what was necessary. Despite this oversight, seed survival rates in the treatment plots were high enough to show an increase in native biomass and floristic quality. With these results, C addition has helped native species regain a spot in the sunlight at the Dixon Prairie.

Acknowledgements

With great appreciation, I would like to thank D. Sollenberger for setting up this study and collecting field data, S. Finkelman for guiding me through lab instrumentation, and L. Egerton-Warburton for analyzing the data. This study was financially supported by a grant from the USDA- National Urban and Community Forestry Council to L. Egerton-Warburton (03-DG-11244225-124).

References

Alpert, P., and J. L. Maron. 2000. Carbon Addition as a Countermeasure Against Biological Invasion by Plants. Biological Invasions 2:33-40.

Paschke, M. W., t. Mclendon, and E. F. Redente. 2000. Nitrogen Availability and Old-Field Succession in a Shortgrass Steppe.

Morgan, J. P. 1994. Soil Impoverishment: A Little-Known technique Holds Promise for Establishing Prairie. Restoration and Management Notes 12:55-56.

Neely, R. Dan, and Carla G. Heister. The Natural Resources of Illinois. Champaign: Illinois, 1987.

University of Minnesota. Soil Carbon Addition Controls Weeds and Facilitates Prairie Restoration. St Paul, MN: Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, 2001.